More Than Just Decreased Fetal Movements

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR JANE WARLAND



University of South Australia

Background

 It is well recognised that maternal perception of a decrease in frequency of fetal movements is associated with poor pregnancy outcomes including stillbirth.

Mechanism: conserving energy

Fetal wellbeing is made up of....



Why are strength and pattern also important?







STARS Study

Online survey conducted between September 2012 and August 2014. Cohort study design with nested case-control arm

- Cohort 1,714 women who had experienced a stillbirth >3 weeks prior to enrolment completed the survey
- Case-control 153 cases who had a stillbirth ≤ 3 weeks prior and 480 controls who had had a recent live birth or who were still pregnant.

BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth

ABOUT



RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS OPEN PEER REVIEW

An international internet survey of the experiences of 1,714 mothers with a late stillbirth: the STARS cohort study

Jane Warland 🖾 , Louise M. O'Brien, Alexander E. P. Heazell, Edwin A. Mitchell and the STARS consortium

 BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth
 2015
 15:172
 DOI:
 10.1186/s12884-015-0602-4
 ©
 Warland et al.
 2015

 Received:
 18 September
 2014
 Accepted:
 28 July
 2015
 Published:
 15 August
 2015

ARTICLES

Open Peer Review reports

HOME



Research article Open Access Open Peer Review

Stillbirth is associated with perceived alterations in fetal activity – findings from an international case control study

Alexander E. P. Heazell 🔤 💿 , Jane Warland , Tomasina Stacey , Christin Coomarasamy , Jayne Budd , Edwin A. Mitchell and Louise M. O'Brien

BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth BMC series – open, inclusive and trusted 2017 **17**:369 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1555-6 | © The Author(s). 2017



STARS Study: historical cohort

Frequency of unusual fetal movement

	N (%)
	<i>N</i> = 1,714
No change in fetal movement	480 (28.0 %)
A little bit less movement	273 (15.9 %)
Significantly less movement	522 (30.5 %)
A little bit more movement	136 (7.9 %)
Significantly more movement	146 (8.5 %)
Don't remember	103 (6.0 %)
Missing	54 (3.2 %)

Warland et al 2015



Change in usual pattern of movement case control

Response		Gro	up		All		Crude OR	P-value
	Ca	ses	Cont	rols			(95% CI)	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%		
Once you were awa	re of your b	aby's usual	pattern of	movemer	nt, was the	ere any time	e your baby's movements were	e unusual?
No	27	19.3	200	52.5	227	43.6	Reference	<.0001
Yes, a little bit	35	25	96	25.2	131	25.1	2.7 (1.55, 4.72)	
less								
Yes, significantly	56	40	32	8.4	88	16.9	12.9 (7.17, 23.4)	
less								
Yes, a little bit	15	10.7	44	11.6	59	11.3	2.53 (1.24, 5.14)	
more								
Yes, significantly	7	5	9	2.36	16	3.07	5.76 (1.98, 16.7)	
more								

Significantly less FM was 13 times more common in stillbirth group and significantly more 6 x Heazell et al 2017



Fetal movement at bedtime: case v control

			Group				Crude OR	p-value
	Cases	5	Controls				(95% CI)	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%		
Did you	usually feel you	ur baby move	at bedtime du	uring this prea	gnancy?			
No	5	3.42	16	4.15	21	3.95	Reference	0.704
Yes	141	96.6	370	95.9	511	96.1	1.22 (0.44,	
							3.39)	
Did you	feel your baby	move at bedt	ime on the las	st night of thi	spregnand	y?		
No	49	39.8	23	6.67	72	15.4	Reference	<.0001
Yes	74	60.2	322	93.3	396	84.6	0.11 (0.06,	
							0.19)	

Both stillborn mums and liveborn mums usually felt their baby move at bedtime BUT the liveborn mums were 10 times more likely to say they felt their baby moving normally on the last night of the pregnancy

Change in strength: case v control



Response		Gro	oup		All		Crude OR	P-value
	Ca	ises	Cont	rols			(95% CI)	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%		
During the last t	wo week	s of this p	regnancy,	did the	STRENG	STH of your baby's	s movements	
Stay the same	66	46.5	180	49.2	246	48.4	Reference	<.0001
Decrease	58	40.9	56	15.3	114	22.4	2.83 (1.78 <i>,</i> 4.49)	
Increase	18	12.7	130	35.5	148	29.1	0.38 (0.21 <i>,</i> 0.67)	

Cases were nearly 3 times more likely to report a decrease in strength whereas controls were 9 times more likely to report an increase in strength



Cases were nearly 3 times more likely to report a decrease in strength whereas controls were 9 times more likely to report an increase in strength

Change in vigor: case v control

more than one



Response		Gro	oup		All		Crude OR	P-value		
	Cases Controls (95% CI)		Controls		Cases Controls		Cases Controls		(95% CI)	
	Total	%	Total	%	Total	%				
During the la than usual)?	st two w	veeks of	this pre	gnancy	, did yo	u notice	e any time that your	baby was more vigorous		
No	59	42.8	143	40.2	202	40.9	Reference	<.0001		
Yes, once.	42	30.4	24	6.74	66	13.4	4.24 (2.36, 7.62)			
Yes, sometimes.	30	21.7	158	44.4	188	38.1	0.46 (0.28, 0.75)			
Yes, often.	7	5.07	31	8.71	38	7.69	0.55 (0.23, 1.31)			

Chan Response	°Craz	zy", "Wild % (v 1%)	" con	trols			R		Powerful", ggressive" 5% (v nil)	The Risks for solution
During the la	ast two	veeks of	Total this pre	gnanc				e that you	aby was more vigoro	us
than usual)?					ane			. 🔘		
No	59	42.8	143	40.2				nce	<.0001	
Yes, once.	42	30.4	24	6.74	66	13.4	4.24 (2	2.36, 7.62)		
Yes, sometimes.	30	21.7	158	44.4	188	38.1	0.46 (0).28, 0.75)		
Yes, often.	7	5.07	31	8.71	38	7.69	0.55 (0).23, 1.31)		
Cases were 4 t more than one		ore likely to	o report	ONE epi	sode of	vigor wh	nereas cor	ntrols were m	ore likely to report He	azell et al 2017



Hiccups: Case v control

Response		G	roup				Crude OR	P-value
nesponse	C	ases	Cor	ntrols			— (95% CI)	
	Total	%	Total %		Total	%		
Did you experien	ce?	•		·				
No	28	20	69	18.1	97	18.6	Reference	0.61
Yes	112	80	313	81.9	425	81.4	0.88 (0.54, 1.43)	
How long were t	he episo	des?	1			•		l
< 5 minutes	44	40.7	160	52.5	204	49.4	Reference	0.037
≥ 5 minutes	64	59.3	145	47.5	209	50.6	1.60 (1.02, 2.50)	
How often were	the episo	odes?						
Once or twice in	12	11.2	59	19.3	71	17.2	Reference	0.027
total								
Weekly	33	30.8	113	37.1	146	35.4	1.43 (0.69, 2.98)	
Daily	62	57.9	133	43.6	195	47.3	2.29 (1.15, 4.56)	

CASE-CONTROL: FETAL MOVEMENTS (FMS) (SUMMARY)



Stillborn cases more likely to report in the last 2 weeks of the pregnancy:

- perception of decreased frequency (aOR 14.1, 95%CI (7.27-27.45)
- Weak strength (aOR 2.86 95% CI (1.72, 4.75),
- A single episode of excessive vigorous fetal activity (described as frantic, maniac or crazy) (aOR 4.30, 95%CI (2.25-8.24)
- Change in pattern at bedtime and prolonged periods of daily hiccups
 Controls more likely to:
- Reports of *increased* strength and frequency of fetal movements and "sometimes" vigorous FMs were all protective.
- Usual pattern of movement at bedtime last night (aOR 0.11, 95% CI (0.06-0.21).



AUCKLAND STILLBIRTH STUDY(FMS) (SUMMARY)

Stillborn cases more likely to report in the last 2 weeks of the pregnancy:

- perception of **decreased frequency** (aOR: 2.37; 95% CI: 1.29–4.35)
- Weak strength (aOR 2.37 95% CI (1.29-4.35),
- A single episode of excessive vigorous fetal activity (aOR 6.81, 95%Cl (3.01-15.41)

Controls reported:

increased strength and frequency of fetal movements, and "sometimes" vigorous FMs were all protective.



MINESS: case-control study

	Cases (n=291)	Controls (n=733)	OR (95% CI)
In the last two week	ks did the strength of	your baby's movem	ents
Increase	53 (18.3%)	455 (62.8%)	0.15 (0.11-0.22)
Decrease	62 (21.4%)	50 (6.9%)	1.61 (1.05 -2.46)
Stay the same	153 (52.8%)	198 (27.3%)	Reference
Unsure	22 (2.6%)	22 (3.0%)	1.29 (0.69 -2.42)



MINESS: case-control study

	aOR (95% CI)
During the last 2 weeks usual?	did you notice anytime that your baby was more vigorous than
No	Reference
Once	2.10 (1.06, 4.17)
More than once	0.59 (0.37, 0.96)

NZ: MULTI-CENTER (SUMMARY)



Stillborn cases (n=164) more likely to report in the last 2 weeks of the pregnancy:

- perception of **decreased frequency** (aOR: 2.41; 95% CI: 1.59–3.36)
- Weak strength (aOR: 2.35, 95% CI 1.44 -3.82)
- "Quiet in the evening" (aOR 3.41, 95%CI (1.34 8.72)

Controls (n=569) :

- 74% of controls reported "strong" FM in the evening
- Also *increased* strength and frequency of fetal movements, and "clusters or longer busy times" were all protective.

NZ: MULTICENTERED CASE-CONTROL



Bradford et al 2018; PSANZ

3 Elements to fetal wellbeing



Easy way to remember



The FM conversation?



Provide written information at booking (gives importance)

16-20 weeks: talk about importance of FMs and getting to know the baby. Reintroduce brochure

Suggest sticker on Hand Held Record (notes) to alert everyone that the conversation has happened

EVERY visit thereafter ask about baby's movements and document the detail of the conversation (Strength frequency pattern)

How do you ask about FMs?

Is your baby moving?

OR

Tell me about your baby's movements?

Asking the woman to tell you about FMs:

Empowers her to get to know her baby:

Be aware of <u>who</u> her baby is,
<u>how</u> her baby is and
<u>Immediately</u> report A<u>NY</u> change

Allows **you** to assess strength, frequency and pattern, document and then notice changes

Ways she can get to know her baby:

Structure:

Mindfetalness

A method for focusing upon fetal movements



During Mindfetalness you focus upon

The intensity of the movements The way in which the baby moves How much the baby moves

The questions to be answered are Can the movements be felt distinctly? Are the movements of the same intensity as usual? Does the fetus move as much as usual?

How do you document FMs?

NOTES FMF

d

Maternal, Newborn and Infant Clinical Outcome Review Programme



MBRRACE-UK Perinatal Confidential Enquiry

Term, singleton, intrapartum stillbirth and intrapartum-related neonatal death



November 2017



Midwives and obstetricians should emphasise the importance of fetal movements from the middle of pregnancy to women **at each antenatal contact** as a method of fetal surveillance, and document the detail of this conversation.

Why do you ask about FMs?

Its important because.....

Throughout I was always asked "is baby moving" but never told to monitor kicks or told that babies have their own pattern of movements. I was also told baby might slow down when getting ready for labor so did not focus or put my attention on fetal movements which may have saved my baby's life.(Pollock PhD study participant)





Aim

The Maternity and Children Quality Improvement Collaborative (MCQIC) is one of a number of programmes under way across Sootland which aims to reduce the rate of stillbirth. In 2012, 274 babies were stillborn in Sootland (rate of 4.7 per 1000 births).¹ A key aim of MCQIC is to reduce the Sootlish rate of stillbirth by 15%.

The cause of stillbirth is complex, but it is recognised that the need to monitor fetal movement throughout pregnancy is an important health message for women. MCQIC set an aim that by December 2015, 95% of all pregnant women would have a documented discussion with a midwife about fetal movement between weeks 18 and 24 of pregnancy.

Methods

Test teams were given tools to support measurement which included a sampling strategy, tools to display data in time sequence and a clear definition of a fetal movement discussion. The discussion with women highlighted:

- the importance of being aware of your baby's fetal movements, and that every baby's movements are unique
- (2) to contact the maternity unit immediately if fetal movements decrease or stop, and
- (3) the link between fetal movement and fetal wellbeing, and that a decrease or cessation of fetal movement may be associated with the risk of stillbirth.

Baseline data was collected from maternity notes on a monthy basis from March 2014 onwards. Using the Model for Improvement, maternity teams tested ideas on a small scale and collected data to confirm if the changes resulted in an improvement.

Outcomes/Results

National aggregated data from 12 of 17 teams which have reported consistently from March 2014 to September 2015 show discussion of fetal movement improved by 11% from the baseline period, but deterioration in tate 2015 suggests further work is needed (Figure 1).

Local level data from the Pennywell team, NHS Lothian, shows how the process has improved by 21% from baseline (Figure 2), In 2014, 228 babies were stillborn, a 14.89% reduction in the rate of stillbirth compared to 2012 (rate of 4 per 1000 births) (Figure 3).1

Conclusions

Although no one factor can be attributed to the reduction, it is encouraging that the rate of stillbirth is now at the lowest level ever recorded in Scotland.

Reference:

 National Records of Section Vital events reference to the 2014. Available at your seccel and count vital effects on the plant and the instant at the bases of all events of all second and the section of the events where a section VVI (section 4 as effects a sec plant at the second at the VVI (section 4 as effects a second at the second at

www.scottishpatientsafetyprogramme.scot.nhs.uk/programmes/mcqic www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org











Figure 3: Bootlish exiliairth cu

Does talking about fetal movements save lives?

The Maternity and Children Quality Improvement Collaborative (MCQIC) formed in 2010

MCQIC set an aim that by December 2015, 95% of all pregnant women would have a documented discussion with a midwife about fetal movement between weeks 18 and 24 of pregnancy.

Target set for 15% reduction in Stillbirth



https://tinyurl.com/yawlaf65

BUT

What was your response to the change in frequency ?	Reduced	Increased	
	n=795 (%)	n=282 (%)	
Did not worry	51 (6.4%)	39 (13.8%)	
Mentioned to family and friends but did not worry further	105 (13.2%)	59 (20.9%)	61%
Mentioned to healthcare provider and was reassured	244 (30.7%)	76 (27.0%)	0170
Mentioned to healthcare provider and was told to monitor at home for symptoms and call back if still concerned	65 (8.1%)	21 (7.4%)	
Mentioned to healthcare provider and had general evaluation (fetal heart rate, cervical status etc)	59 (7.4%)	16 (5.6%)	1
Mentioned to healthcare provider and outpatient testing	80 (10.1%)	23 (8.1%)	
Mentioned to healthcare provider and was admitted	45 (5.7%)	12 (4.5%)	
Went to hospital and was admitted	75 (9.4%)	13 (4.6%)	
Went to hospital and was sent home	37 (4.7%)	10 (3.5%)	
Did not provide response to question	34 (4.3%)	13 (4.6%)	

Warland et al 2015

Women don't know to be concerned

Case-control: Healthcare Provider Information



			Grou	OR (95% CI)	p-value					
Response	esponse Cases Controls									
	Total	·	%	Total	%	Total	%			
During this pregnancy did your healthcare provider tell you about or ask you to keep										
track of yo	ur baby	's mo	ovemen	t?						
	No	79	54.9	161	41.6	240	45.2	Reference	0.0066	
	Yes	65	45.1	226	58.4	291	54.8	0.59 (0.4, 0.86)		
Care providers are not telling women



It is normal to feel less movement towards the end of pregnancy



Fetal movements do not normally decrease close to term. In fact decreased fetal movement at or near term places the pregnancy at substantial increased risk (Tviet et al 2006, O'Sullivan et al 2009)



Women who experience DFM should be told to sit down and drink a cold or sweet drink before coming in



Eating, Drinking a Cold or sweet Drink or even Glucose infusion doesn't improve fetal wellbeing

(Druzin et al 1993, Esin et al 2013, Michaan et al 2016)

Mothers are telling each other

Okay mummas. Weird movements. Yesterday bubs was over active, i had mild painful and irregular contractions. Today i have not felt her move yet. Ive had ice water. Juice. And ate something. About to lay downand see if that helps. Also for the first time in 3 weeks the contractions have stopped..... thoughts?? Any other ideas on getting her moving.

> I had similar on Wednesday but baby had reduced movements not stopped all together, even with reduced movements they got me in for monitoring. Just to be safe. If you haven't felt baby at all today I would give your midwife a call and go get checked out.



A well fetus moves 10 times in.....

Australian midwives were asked..... "A well fetus will move....":



Warland et al unpublished

Case Study

Caitlin is a 30 year old woman who is 39 weeks pregnant with her first baby. She has recently stopped working. She has just come home from her baby shower and felt her baby moving as usual while she was there. She has sat down to watch some television and after a few minutes realises her baby isn't moving. This is concerning because she knows her baby always moves when she sits down. She rings you, (after you have determined her age, gravidy, parity, gestational week and what has triggered her recent concern) what is your most likely first response:

What do you do?



Warland (unpublished 2018)

She has noticed a change in her baby's pattern of activity



She should be assessed?



Lets compare heart attack

20% of people having chest pain are actually having a heart attack whereas 30% of women who present with DFM have a poor pregnancy outcome

How should she be assessed?



2.3 Care pathway for women presenting with decreased fetal movements from 28 weeks' gestation

Disclaimer: This algorithm is for general guidance only and is subject to a clinician's expert judgement. The algorithm should not be relied on as a substitute for clinical advice.



2.3 Care pathway for women presenting with altered fetal activity

Disclaimer: This algorithm is for general guidance only and is subject to a clinician's expert judgement. The algorithm should not be relied on as a substitute for clinical advice.



Conclusions:

- Pregnant women require education about the importance of quickly reporting <u>ANY</u> concerning alteration in their baby's activity to their maternity care provider.
- In order to properly assess fetal wellbeing maternity care providers need to respond to <u>ANY</u> concerns the mother has about alterations in her baby's behaviour not just a reduction in frequency
- Having an ongoing conversation saves baby's lives.

Acknowledgements



References:

Bradford BF, Cronin RM, McCowan LME, et al Maternal perception of fetal movement quality and risk of late stillbirth. Paper to Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand PSANZ 20th Annual Congress Auckland, NZ, March 2018

Druzin M, Foodim J, Fox A, Weiss C. The effect of maternal glucose ingestion (MGI) compared to maternal water ingestion (MWI) on the non stress test (NST). In: Scientific Abstracts of the Thirtieth Annual Meeting of the Society for Gynecologic Investigation, March 17-20, 1983. [Abstract 59], Washington, DC: Society for Gynecologic Investigation;1983.

Esin S, Baser E, Cakir C, Ustun Tuncal GN, Kucukozkan T. Chocolate or orange juice for non-reactive non-stress test (NST) patterns: a randomized prospective controlled study. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2013;26(9):915-9.

Heazell AEP, Li M, Budd J, et al Patterns of fetal movement and the association with late stillbirth. Paper to Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand PSANZ 20th Annual Congress Auckland, NZ, March 2018

Heazell AEP, Warland J, Stacey T, et al (2017) Stillbirth is Associated with Perceived Alterations in Fetal Activity - Findings from an International Case Control Study. BMC-Pregnancy and childbirth 17:369 DOI 10.1186/s12884-017-1555-6

Michaan N, Baruch Y, Topilsky M, et al The effect of glucose administration on perceived fetal movements in women with decreased fetal movement, a double-blinded placebo-controlled trial. J Perinatol. 2016.

O'Sullivan O, Stephen G, Martindale E, et al (2009) Predicting poor perinatal outcome in women who present with decreased fetal movements Journal of obstetrics and Gynaecology 29: (8) 705-710

Stacey T, et al (2011) Maternal perception of fetal activity and late stillbirth risk: findings from the Auckland Stillbirth Study. Birth. 2011 38(4):311-6

Tveit JVH, et al 2009 Reduction of late stillbirth with the introduction of fetal movement information and guidelines- a clinical quality improvement BMC pregnancy and Childbirth 9:32 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2393-9-32

Warland J, O'Brien LM, Heazell AEP, et al (2015) An International Internet Survey of the Experiences of 1,714 Mothers with a Late Stillbirth: The STARS Cohort Study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 15 (172) DOI 10.1186/s12884-015-0602-4